

**Conyer Road, Teynham**  
**Proposed Single Yellow Line Removal & Double Yellow Line Extension**

<b>Reponse</b>	<b>Support</b>	<b>Object</b>	<b>Comments</b>
1	1		I support the proposed amendments to the restrictions. My comments would be that further to this, I would very much like the opportunity to meet with a transport officer at Conyer Road to look at the necessity for the proposed double yellow extension on the opposite side of the road. Whilst I/we completely understand the need for double yellows at the top of both sides of the road to enable the large Gotham lorries turning access, we have on many occasions witnessed the lorries turning after parking restriction times (ie after 1830 Mon-Sat and Sundays) with people parked in the area where the double yellow extension is now planned. We can supply video footage to support this.
2	1		I support the proposal in that the lines should be removed, allowing the residents of Bridge cottages to park outside their homes.
3	1		Thank you very much for the opportunity to comment on the proposed amendments to Conyer Road parking . I do support the proposed amendments to the restrictions. My comments would be that , I would very much like the opportunity to meet with a transport officer at Conyer Road to look at the necessity for the proposed double yellow extension on the opposite side of the road . Whilst we do understand the need for double yellow lines at the top of both sides of the road to enable the large lorries turning access , we have seen on many occasions the lorries turning after restrictions times with people parked either side of the road and on the area of the proposed restrictions.
4	DUPLICATE		Thank you very much for the opportunity to comment on the proposed amendments to Conyer Road parking . I do support the proposed amendments to the restrictions. My comments would be that , I would very much like the opportunity to meet with a transport officer at Conyer Road to look at the necessity for the proposed double yellow extension on the opposite side of the road . Whilst we do understand the need for double yellow lines at the top of both sides of the road to enable the large lorries turning access , we have seen on many occasions the lorries turning after restrictions times with people parked either side of the road and on the area of the proposed restrictions.

Reponse	Support	Object	Comments
5	1		I would like to agree with the proposed amendments to lift the yellow line outside of Bridge Cottages but would like to add that I would welcome the opportunity to discuss further the need for the extension to the double yellow lines across the road as I have witnessed lorries turning adequately out of Conyer Road. This is taking place with the double yellow lines as they exist now with cars parked on the area for the proposed double yellow line extension.
6	DUPLICATE		I am writing on behalf of *****, current tenant of ** Bridge Cottages regarding the proposed parking amendments. ***** has been away for a while so I called him last night to remind him to do his response and he said he was with a very unwell family member so wouldn't be able to get home to find the details and post/email in time so he has asked me to respond on his behalf to meet the deadline today. ***** would like to vote in support with the proposed amendments. He would also like to echo previous comments on further research on the need to extend the double yellow the other side of the road.
7		1	If the Swale planners had listened to and done their job properly they would have taken Teynham Parish Council advice and had a service road at the back when decelopment of Squash Club took place. LEAVE ALONE, LEAVE AS IS.
	4	1	

Properties Consulted	13		
No. returned	5	% Response	38.5
No. Support	4	% Support	80.0
No. Object	1	% Object	20.0

(plus two duplicate addresses support)